



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/735,180	12/12/2003	Larry Norton	93580.010100	2583
32361	7590	11/12/2008		
GREENBERG TRAURIG, LLP			EXAMINER	
MET LIFE BUILDING			OLSON, ERIC	
200 PARK AVENUE				
NEW YORK, NY 10166			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1623	
NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE			
11/12/2008	ELECTRONIC			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

SchindlerB@gilaw.com
LucasCh@gilaw.com
NYIPmail@gilaw.com

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/735,180	Applicant(s) NORTON, LARRY
	Examiner Eric S. Olson	Art Unit 1623

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Eric S. Olson. (3) Larry Norton.
 (2) Shaojia Jiang. (4) Hashim Rahman.

Date of Interview: 03 November 2008.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference
 c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.
 If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: _____.

Identification of prior art discussed: Hudis et al., Winer et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The teaching of Hudis et al. was discussed along with subsequent developments in the art. Applicant will file additional data concerning the comparative efficacy of the claimed therapy to the prior art.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Eric S Olson/
 Examiner, Art Unit 1623